| 1                               |  |   |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 2                               |  |   |
| 3                               |  |   |
| 4                               |  |   |
| 5                               |  |   |
| 6                               |  |   |
| 7                               | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON   |   |
| 8                               | LAFFON GLYMPH,   |   |
| 9                               | Plaintiff,   | Case No. 2:21-cv-01704-JHC                              |
| 10                              | v.   | ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S |
| 11                              | CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS <sup>1</sup> and  | REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S<br>ANSWERS, DEFENSES AND           |
| 12                              | COMPUCOM <sup>2</sup> ,  | AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES                                    |
| 13                              | Defendants.  |   |
| 14                              |  |   |
| 15                              | This matter come before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Reply to   |   |
| 16                              | Defendant's Answers, Defenses and Affirmative Defenses. Dkt. # 54. Contrary to Plaintiff's   |   |
| 17                              | argument in opposition, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(c) does not allow a reply to affirmative   |   |
| 18                              | defenses. Thus, the Court GRANTS the motion and STRIKES Plaintiff's reply at Dkt. # 53.  |   |
| 19                              | DATED this 1st day of March, 2024.   |   |
| 20                              | John H. Chun   |   |
| 21                              | JOHN H. CHUN<br>UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE   |   |
| 22                              |  | TIED STATES DISTAGE VODGE                               |
| 23                              |  |   |
| 24                              | On June 10, 2022, this Court dismissed CT Corporation Systems, Defendant's registered agent,   |   |
| <ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul> | from the case. Dkt. # 19. <sup>2</sup> Pro se Plaintiff Laffon Glymph listed Defendant CompuCom Systems, Inc. as "CompuCom" as a Defendant in this matter. |   |
|                                 |  |   |